O‘ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETI JIZZAX FILIALI

ISSN 2181-3884

ZAMONAVIY ILMIY-TEXNIK TADQIQOTLAR

xalqaro jurnali

Vol. 2 No. 4

2026

International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research

Volume 2 Issue 4
2026

Zamonaviy ilmiy-texnik tadqiqotlar xalqaro jurnali

4-son
2026

Международный журнал современных научно-технических исследований

Том 2 Выпуск 4
2026

MIRZO ULUG‘BEK NOMIDAGI O‘ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETINING JIZZAX FILIALI

Xalqaro ilmiy-elektron jurnali

Tahrir kengashi raisi:

P.f.d., prof., O.X. Turakulov

Bosh muharrir:

A.A. Abdumalikov

Tahrir kengashi mas’ul kotibi:

D.M. Jomurodov

Tahririyat hay’ati:

1. B.f.d., prof., S. Murodova (O’zMU Jizzax filiali, UZB)
2. P.f.n., prof., S. Usmanov (O’zMU Jizzax filiali, UZB)
3. T.f.n., dos., S. Tavboev (O’zMU Jizzax filiali, UZB)
4. F.f.d., prof., N. Djusupov (O’zDJTU, UZB)
5. T.f.d., dos., S. Ruzimov (TTPU, UZB)
6. P.f.d., prof., G. Lekerova (SKU, KZH)
7. DSc., Ali Coşkan (ASUI, TR)
8. T.f.d., prof., D. Irgasheva (TATU, UZB)
9. T.f.d., prof., I. Siddikov (NRU TIIAME, UZB)
10. T.f.n., dos., S. Eshkabilov (NDU, US)

Zamonaviy ilmiy-texnik tadqiqotlar xalqaro elektron jurnali (International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research) O’zMU Jizzax filiali Kengashining qaroriga asosan tashkil etilib, 2022-yil 9-sentabrda O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Administratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan №038200 raqami bilan ro‘yxatidan o‘tkazilgan, shuningdek davlatlararo standartlar talabi asosida O‘zbekiston Milliy kutubxonasidan jurnal uchun 2181-3884 ISSN raqami olingan.

© “O‘zMU Jizzax filiali” – 2023

Tahririyat manzili:

130100, Jizzax viloyati, Jizzax shahri,
Sh.Rashidov Shox ko‘chasi, 259-uy.

ARALASH TA'LIMNING TALABALAR AKADEMIK O'ZLASHTIRISH KO'RSATKICHLARIGA TA'SIRI

Nematova Madina Baxodirovna

"Toshkent irrigatsiya va qishloq xo'jaligini mexanizatsiyalash muhandislari instituti" Milliy tadqiqot universiteti, o'qituvchi.
e-mail: m_nematova@tiiame.uz **ORCID**: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3697-7512

Annotatsiya.

Ushbu tadqiqotda an'anaviy auditoriya mashg'ulotlarini raqamli ta'lim bilan integratsiyalashga asoslangan aralash ta'limning talabalar akademik o'zlashtirish ko'rsatkichlariga ta'siri nazariy jihatdan tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqotning maqsadi mazkur yondashuvning akademik yutuqlarga ta'sir ko'rsatishi mumkin bo'lgan pedagogik mexanizmlari va shart-sharoitlarini aniqlashdan iborat. Nazariy-tahliliy metod asosida xalqaro va o'zbekistonlik olimlarning ilmiy nashrlari o'rganildi. Tahlil natijalari aralash ta'lim ta'lim resurslaridan foydalanish imkoniyatlarini kengaytirish, talabalarning mustaqilligini va o'quv jarayonidagi faolligini oshirish, shuningdek, formativ baholash hamda o'z vaqtida qayta aloqa taqdim etishni yengillashtirish orqali akademik natijalarni yaxshilashga xizmat qilishi mumkinligini ko'rsatadi. Biroq ijobiy ta'sirlar o'quv jarayonining izchil loyihalashtirilishi, talabalarning o'zini o'zi boshqarish qobiliyati, raqamli kompetentligi va o'qituvchilar tomonidan yetarli darajada qo'llab-quvvatlanishiga bog'liq. Nashr etilgan meta-tahlillarda, odatda, o'rtacha ijobiy ta'sirlar qayd etilgan bo'lsa-da, ular fan yo'nalishlari va ta'lim sharoitlariga qarab farqlanadi. Tadqiqot doirasida mustaqil eksperiment o'tkazilmaganligi sababli, olingan natijalar yangi statistik xulosalarni emas, balki avvalgi ilmiy dalillarning talqinini ifodalaydi. Tadqiqot yakunida aralash ta'limni integratsiyalashgan pedagogik strategiya sifatida ko'rib chiqish zarurligi ta'kidlanadi hamda uni oliy ta'lim tizimida joriy etish samaradorligini kelgusida baholash uchun konseptual asos taqdim etiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: aralash ta'lim, akademik o'zlashtirish, oliy ta'lim, talabalarning o'quv faolligi, ta'lim oluvchining mustaqilligi, raqamli ta'lim, formativ baholash, akademik yutuqlar.

ВЛИЯНИЕ СМЕШАННОГО ОБУЧЕНИЯ НА АКАДЕМИЧЕСКУЮ УСПЕВАЕМОСТЬ СТУДЕНТОВ

Нематова Мадина Баходировн

Национальный исследовательский университет «Ташкентский институт инженеров ирригации и механизации сельского хозяйства», преподаватель.

Аннотация.

В данном исследовании на основе теоретического анализа рассматривается влияние смешанного обучения, объединяющего традиционные очные занятия с цифровым обучением, на академическую успеваемость студентов. Цель исследования заключается в выявлении педагогических механизмов и условий, посредством которых данный подход может влиять на академические достижения. С использованием теоретико-аналитического метода были изучены научные публикации зарубежных и узбекистанских исследователей. Обобщение результатов показывает, что смешанное обучение может способствовать повышению академической успеваемости благодаря расширению доступа к образовательным ресурсам, развитию самостоятельности обучающихся и их вовлечённости в учебный процесс, а также обеспечению формирующего оценивания и своевременной обратной связи. Однако положительные эффекты зависят от последовательного проектирования учебного процесса, способности обучающихся к саморегуляции, уровня их цифровой компетентности и достаточной поддержки со стороны преподавателей. Опубликованные метаанализы в целом свидетельствуют о положительных средних эффектах, хотя их выраженность различается в зависимости от учебных дисциплин и образовательных условий. Поскольку в

рамках исследования не проводился самостоятельный эксперимент, полученные результаты представляют собой интерпретацию ранее опубликованных научных данных, а не новые статистические выводы. В заключение подчёркивается необходимость рассмотрения смешанного обучения как интегрированной педагогической стратегии и предлагается концептуальная основа для дальнейшей оценки эффективности его внедрения в систему высшего образования.

Ключевые слова: смешанное обучение, академическая успеваемость, высшее образование, вовлечённость студентов, самостоятельность обучающихся, цифровое обучение, формирующее оценивание, академические достижения.

THE EFFECTS OF BLENDED LEARNING ON STUDENTS' ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

Nematova Madina Baxodirovna

"Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers" National Research University, Teacher.

Abstract.

This study examines the effects of blended learning on students' academic performance through a theoretical analysis of face-to-face instruction integrated with digital learning. Its objective is to identify the pedagogical mechanisms and conditions through which this approach may influence academic achievement. International and Uzbek scholarly publications were examined using a theoretical analytical method. The synthesis indicates that blended learning can support academic outcomes by improving access to educational resources, fostering learner autonomy and academic engagement, and facilitating formative assessment and timely feedback. However, positive effects are conditional on coherent instructional design, learners' self-regulation, digital competence, and adequate teacher support. Published meta-analyses generally report favorable average effects, although these differ across disciplines and learning settings. As no original experiment was conducted, the results represent an interpretation of prior evidence rather than new statistical findings. The study concludes that blended learning should be treated as an integrated pedagogical strategy and provides a conceptual basis for further evaluation of its implementation in higher education.

Keywords: blended learning, academic performance, higher education, student engagement, learner autonomy, digital learning, formative assessment, academic achievement.

Introduction. The rapid development of digital technologies has significantly transformed educational practices, creating new opportunities for improving teaching effectiveness and students' academic performance. Traditional classroom instruction is increasingly supplemented by technology-supported approaches that promote flexibility, accessibility, and individualized learning experiences. Within this environment, blended learning has emerged as an approach integrating face-to-face instruction with structured online learning activities.

Blended learning involves more than adding electronic materials to conventional classes. When purposefully designed, it combines in-person discussion, explanation, and collaborative learning with digital resources, asynchronous activities, online feedback, and assessment. Students may review course content at their own pace while retaining direct interaction with instructors and peers. These opportunities have increased the relevance of blended approaches in higher education.

Academic performance refers to observable learning outcomes, including assessment results, subject comprehension, knowledge retention, and successful achievement of learning objectives. Because students differ in prior knowledge, learning pace, and motivation, a single instructional format may not meet all their needs equally. A carefully designed blended environment can provide differentiated practice and additional opportunities to consolidate material.

The potential benefits of blended learning arise from complementary pedagogical mechanisms. Digital resources can support self-paced learning; classroom interactions provide clarification and collaborative problem-solving; and online formative assessment may help teachers identify difficulties and adjust instruction. Nevertheless, technology by itself does not guarantee stronger results. Students require clear guidance, digital access, and self-regulation skills, while teachers must align activities with learning objectives.

Previous meta-analyses report positive average academic outcomes for blended learning in comparison with classroom-only approaches, although study designs, instructional time, and learning resources vary [2–4]. The

interpretation of these results therefore requires attention to context and implementation. In Uzbekistan, blended learning is also being discussed in the context of interactive methods, digital educational opportunities, and independent study [6–8]. Yet the direct relationship between blended learning and measured achievement within particular local environments warrants additional investigation.

The aim of this study is to examine the effects of blended learning on students' academic performance through a theoretical analysis of its instructional advantages, pedagogical mechanisms, and limitations. The focus is on the conditions under which online and face-to-face activities may work together to enhance learning rather than on treating the presence of technology as sufficient evidence of educational effectiveness.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Garrison and Kanuka [1] approached blended learning as a potentially transformative mode of higher education. Their argument highlights the purposeful integration of physical and online environments and the possibility of restructuring learning experiences to encourage reflection, discussion, and meaningful knowledge construction. The implication is that academic benefits depend on instructional design rather than the number of digital materials available.

Means, Toyama, Murphy, and Baki [2] synthesized empirical comparisons involving online and blended instruction and face-to-face teaching. They found generally favorable outcomes in blended learning conditions while cautioning that differences may also be associated with additional learning time, resources, and instructional support. Consequently, the observed effects cannot be attributed automatically to delivery mode alone.

Bernard, Borokhovski, Schmid, Tamim, and Abrami [3] analyzed blended learning and technology use in higher education. Their research adds quantitative evidence concerning academic achievement and calls attention to how digital tools are incorporated into instructional practices. Their findings reinforce the need to distinguish the role of pedagogical organization from technological availability.

Vo, Zhu, and Diep [4] conducted a meta-analysis of 51 effect sizes comparing blended instruction with conventional classroom teaching. They reported an overall positive effect on academic achievement ($g = 0.385$, $p < 0.001$), with a larger average effect for STEM fields ($g = 0.496$) than for non-STEM fields ($g = 0.210$). These disciplinary differences indicate that learning objectives and task characteristics may moderate effectiveness.

Qodirov and Fayzullayeva [6], writing in the Uzbek context, addressed blended learning alongside the flipped classroom, gamification, and other contemporary teaching methods. They emphasized the pedagogical role of interactive activity, information technologies, and independent knowledge acquisition. Their work is valuable for instructional adaptation but does not isolate a causal academic performance effect attributable to blended learning.

Sobirova [7] discussed blended learning and the development of creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration in digital learning environments. These capacities may be relevant to academic achievement, but competence development should not be equated with objectively measured examination results. Further work is necessary to clarify this relationship.

Olimov and Obidova [8] considered the use of blended learning in the organization of visual arts education, illustrating the applicability of combined instructional formats in practice-oriented learning. Their methodological discussion supports contextual adaptation, while its recommendations should not be interpreted as evidence from a controlled achievement experiment.

In comparison, international meta-analyses supply quantified estimates of effects, whereas the selected Uzbek studies focus more heavily on pedagogical opportunities and implementation. This difference reveals a need for locally grounded measurement using comparable achievement indicators and adequate attention to teaching conditions. The present study therefore synthesizes these complementary strands of scholarship without claiming new causal evidence.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a theoretical-analytical method to examine the effects of blended learning on students' academic performance. The approach involves interpreting and synthesizing published scientific studies and pedagogical arguments on the integration of face-to-face learning with digital instructional activities.

The methodological basis includes theoretical contributions and empirical syntheses by Garrison and Kanuka [1], Means et al. [2], Bernard et al. [3], and Vo et al. [4], together with relevant work by Uzbek researchers [6–8]. The sources are examined in relation to the conception of blended learning, instructional organization, learner autonomy, engagement, assessment, feedback, and academic outcomes.

The analysis identifies potential relationships between pedagogical practices and learning outcomes, while considering limitations such as unequal technological access, variations in students' self-regulation, and differences in instructional quality. The resulting conceptual model summarizes mechanisms suggested by prior research and does not constitute a newly tested statistical model.

The method was selected because this study seeks to systematize existing knowledge rather than conduct an original intervention. No primary participant data, experimental groups, or independently calculated effect sizes are reported. Conclusions are therefore limited to reasoned interpretations of the cited literature.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Blended learning and academic achievement. The reviewed literature indicates that well-designed blended learning

can be associated with improved academic results. The mechanisms involve repeated access to explanations, opportunities for independent practice, classroom-based discussion, and complementary forms of feedback. However, differences in time-on-task and teaching resources mean that the independent effect of technology cannot be assumed [2–4].

Flexibility and learner autonomy. Asynchronous resources allow learners to revisit complex concepts and pace their study. This flexibility can support differentiated learning, especially when combined with clearly structured tasks and realistic deadlines. Yet students who lack self-regulation may struggle to sustain participation. Learner autonomy is therefore a possible mediating factor rather than a guaranteed outcome.

Engagement and instructional interaction. Digital discussion, collaborative activities, quizzes, and multimedia materials can offer additional routes to cognitive and behavioral engagement. Face-to-face teaching remains important for clarification and interpersonal support. Educational impact depends on the coherence and quality of the activities, not simply their online or offline location.

Formative assessment and feedback. Blended environments make frequent low-stakes assessment possible and can help teachers identify misunderstandings promptly. Feedback may enable students to address gaps before summative assessment. Automatically generated scores alone, however, do not replace meaningful pedagogical explanations and opportunities for revision.

Implementation in Uzbekistan. Uzbek publications [6–8] describe the educational relevance of interactive methods, digital platforms, and subject-specific blended instruction. These arguments support implementation in local higher education, but additional context-specific comparative research is needed to establish the magnitude of any associated improvements in examination or course achievement.

Published meta-analytical findings provide quantitative context for this theoretical synthesis. Vo et al. [4] report a positive average effect (g = 0.385), with higher estimates in STEM than in non-STEM disciplines. These are published estimates, not measurements produced in the present study.

Table 1. Selected research evidence on blended learning and academic performance

Source	**Research focus**	**Relevant finding**
Means et al. (2013) [2]	Meta-analysis of online/blended and face-to-face conditions	Generally favorable outcomes; differences in resources and learning time also matter
Bernard et al. (2014) [3]	Meta-analysis of blended learning and technology use	Positive academic effects vary with the instructional context
Vo et al. (2017) [4]	51 effect sizes at course level	Overall g = 0.385; STEM g = 0.496; non-STEM g = 0.210

A conceptual interpretation links blended learning to academic performance through instructional flexibility, student engagement, autonomous learning, formative assessment and teacher–student interaction. Each mechanism operates under conditions such as adequate digital access, effective course design, and student preparedness. This model is an explanatory synthesis rather than experimental evidence.

Table 2. Theoretical mechanisms and potential academic implications

Pedagogical component	**Potential academic implication**
Instructional flexibility	Repeated access and improved comprehension
Student engagement	More active participation in learning tasks
Independent learning	Better self-regulation and responsibility
Formative assessment	Earlier identification and correction of gaps
Teacher–student interaction	Clearer guidance and supported understanding

Overall, published evidence supports a favorable average relationship between blended learning and academic achievement, but there is considerable contextual variation. Successful implementation requires online tasks aligned with face-to-face instruction, accessible resources, meaningful feedback, and suitable assessment criteria. As a theoretical study, the present analysis does not demonstrate a new causal effect in a particular student population.

CONCLUSION

The theoretical analysis indicates that blended learning can contribute to students' academic performance when online activities and face-to-face instruction are integrated through a coherent pedagogical design. Flexible access to resources, engagement, independent study, formative assessment, and teacher support emerge as interrelated mechanisms through which learning may be strengthened.

International evidence generally reports favorable academic outcomes, although the magnitude of the effect varies across fields and teaching contexts. The reviewed Uzbek publications further emphasize the importance of digital competence, interactive instructional approaches, and the adaptation of blended learning to national educational conditions.

Technological availability alone is insufficient to ensure improvement. Unequal access, inadequate planning, limited self-regulation, and weak feedback can restrict potential benefits. Institutions should therefore coordinate digital and classroom activities around measurable learning objectives and provide pedagogical support for both students and teachers.

Because no original experimental data were gathered, these conclusions should be understood as a synthesis of prior evidence, not as new causal findings. Future comparative and longitudinal investigations in Uzbekistan could assess course achievement, retention, and engagement under clearly documented blended learning conditions. Blended learning is most appropriately understood as an integrated educational strategy rather than a mechanical combination of delivery formats.

REFERENCES

1. Garrison D. R., Kanuka H. Blended Learning: Uncovering Its Transformative Potential in Higher Education // The Internet and Higher Education. – 2004. – Vol. 7, № 2. – P. 95–105. – DOI: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001. – URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001.

2. Means B., Toyama Y., Murphy R., Baki M. The Effectiveness of Online and Blended Learning: A Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Literature // Teachers College Record. – 2013. – Vol. 115, № 3. – P. 1–47. – DOI: 10.1177/016146811311500307. – URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811311500307.

3. Bernard R. M., Borokhovski E., Schmid R. F., Tamim R. M., Abrami P. C. A Meta-Analysis of Blended Learning and Technology Use in Higher Education: From the General to the Applied // Journal of Computing in Higher Education. – 2014. – Vol. 26. – P. 87–122. – DOI: 10.1007/s12528-013-9077-3. – URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-013-9077-3.

4. Vo H. M., Zhu C., Diep N. A. The Effect of Blended Learning on Student Performance at Course-Level in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis // Studies in Educational Evaluation. – 2017. – Vol. 53. – P. 17–28. – DOI: 10.1016/j.stueduc.2017.01.002. – URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2017.01.002.

5. McCarthy S., Palmer E. Defining an Effective Approach to Blended Learning in Higher Education: A Systematic Review // Australasian Journal of Educational Technology. – 2023. – Vol. 39, № 2. – P. 98–114. – DOI: 10.14742/ajet.8489. – URL: https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.8489.

6. Qodirov F., Fayzullayeva D. Pedagogika fanlarini o‘qitishning zamonaviy metodlari // Zamonaviy dunyoda pedagogika va psixologiya: nazariy va amaliy izlanishlar. – 2024. – 3-jild, № 11. – B. 11–15. – DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14050019. – URL: https://www.in-academy.uz/index.php/ZDPP/article/view/18811.

7. Sobirova M. Aralash ta’limda 4 “C” kompetensiyalari: raqamli ta’lim platformalarining roli // Interpretation and Researches. – 2025. – Vol. 1, № 11 (57). – URL: https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/international-scientific/article/view/121321.

8. Olimov A. M., Obidova B. K. Aralash ta’lim (Blended Learning) texnologiyasi asosida tasviriy san’at darslarini tashkil etish // Ilm fan taraqqiyotida raqamli iqtisodiyot va zamonaviy ta’limning o‘rni hamda rivojlanish omillari. – 2025. – 7-to‘plam, № 1. – B. 296–303. – URL: https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/itrizthro/article/view/129085.