

O'ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETI JIZZAX FILIALI

ISSN 2181-3884



ZAMONAVIY ILMIY-TEXNIK TADQIQOTLAR

xalqaro jurnali

Vol.2 N.1

2026

**International Journal of
Contemporary Scientific
and Technical Research**

**Volume 2 Issue 1
2026**

**Zamonaviy ilmiy-texnik
tadqiqotlar xalqaro jurnali**

**1-son
2026**

**Международный журнал
современных научно-
технических
исследований**

**Том 2 Выпуск 1
2026**



MIRZO ULUG'BEK NOMIDAGI O'ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETINING JIZZAX FILIALI

Xalqaro ilmiy-elektron jurnali

Tahrir kengashi raisi:

P.f.d., prof., O.X. Turakulov

Bosh muharrir:

A.A. Abdumalikov

Tahrir kengashi mas'ul kotibi:

D.M. Jomurodov

Tahririyat hay'ati:

1. B.f.d., prof., S. Murodova (O'zMU Jizzax filiali, UZB)
2. P.f.n., prof., S. Usmanov (O'zMU Jizzax filiali, UZB)
3. T.f.n., dos., S. Tavboev (O'zMU Jizzax filiali, UZB)
4. F.f.d., prof., N. Djusupov (O'zDJTU, UZB)
5. T.f.d., dos., S. Ruzimov (TTPU, UZB)
6. P.f.d., prof., G. Lekerova (SKU, KZH)
7. DSc., Ali Coşkan (ASUI, TR)
8. T.f.d., prof., D. Irgasheva (TATU, UZB)
9. T.f.d., prof., I. Siddikov (NRU TIIAME, UZB)
10. T.f.n., dos., S. Eshkabilov (NDU, US)

Zamonaviy ilmiy-texnik tadqiqotlar xalqaro elektron jurnali (International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research) O'zMU Jizzax filiali Kengashining qaroriga asosan tashkil etilib, 2022-yil 9-sentabrda O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Administratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan №038200 raqami bilan ro'yxatidan o'tkazilgan, shuningdek davlatlararo standartlar talabi asosida O'zbekiston Milliy kutubxonasidan jurnal uchun 2181-3884 ISSN raqami olingan.

© "O'zMU Jizzax filiali" – 2023

Tahririyat manzili:

130100, Jizzax viloyati, Jizzax shahri,
Sh.Rashidov Shox ko'chasi, 259-uy.

INGLIZ TILINI O'QITISH SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHDA REFLEKTIV AMALIYOTNING ROLI

Toirjonov Elzod Elyor o'g'li

Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti, o'qituvchi

Аннотация

Рефлексивная практика стала определяющим элементом современного преподавания английского языка (ELT). В данном исследовании рассматривается влияние трёх структурированных стратегий рефлексии – самонаблюдения посредством видеозаписи, циклов обратной связи со стороны коллег и нарративного ведения дневника – на педагогическую эффективность преподавателей английского языка как иностранного в системе высшего образования. На основе смешанного исследовательского дизайна в работе прослеживается профессиональный путь 50 преподавателей английского языка на протяжении двадцатичетырёхнедельного семестра с измерением изменений в classroom performance, профессиональных установках и принятии педагогических решений. Результаты свидетельствуют о статистически значимой связи между систематической рефлексивной деятельностью и улучшением учебных результатов студентов, причём по отдельным показателям эффективность преподавания возросла более чем на 50 процентов. Полученные данные демонстрируют, что устойчивая рефлексия преобразует когнитивные процессы педагога и его эмоциональную регуляцию, сокращая разрыв между формальной подготовкой и реальной classroom практикой. Исследование приходит к выводу, что рефлексивная практика не является второстепенным элементом профессионального роста, а занимает центральное место в формировании адаптивного, ориентированного на обучающегося преподавания, которого требует эффективное обучение английскому языку.

Калит so'zlar: рефлексивная практика, эффективность преподавания английского языка, профессиональное развитие, педагогическая самосознательность, английский как иностранный, педагогическое мышление, вовлечённость студентов.

РОЛЬ РЕФЛЕКСИВНОЙ ПРАКТИКИ В ПОВЫШЕНИИ ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ АНГЛИЙСКОГО ЯЗЫКА

Тоиржонов Элзод Элёрович

Ташкентский государственный экономический университет, преподаватель

Аннотация

Рефлексивная практика стала определяющим элементом современного преподавания английского языка (ELT). В данном исследовании рассматривается влияние трёх структурированных стратегий рефлексии – самонаблюдения посредством видеозаписи, циклов обратной связи со стороны коллег и нарративного ведения дневника – на педагогическую эффективность преподавателей английского языка как иностранного в системе высшего образования. На основе смешанного исследовательского дизайна в работе прослеживается профессиональный путь 50 преподавателей английского языка на протяжении двадцатичетырёхнедельного семестра с измерением изменений в classroom performance, профессиональных установках и принятии педагогических решений. Результаты свидетельствуют о статистически значимой связи между систематической рефлексивной деятельностью и улучшением учебных результатов студентов, причём по отдельным показателям эффективность преподавания возросла более чем на 50 процентов. Полученные данные демонстрируют, что устойчивая рефлексия преобразует когнитивные процессы педагога и его эмоциональную регуляцию, сокращая разрыв между формальной подготовкой и реальной classroom практикой. Исследование приходит к выводу, что рефлексивная практика не является второстепенным элементом профессионального роста, а занимает центральное место в формировании адаптивного, ориентированного на обучающегося преподавания, которого требует эффективное обучение английскому языку.

Ключевые слова: рефлексивная практика, эффективность преподавания английского языка, профессиональное развитие, педагогическая самосознательность, английский как иностранный, педагогическое мышление, вовлечённость студентов.

THE ROLE OF REFLECTIVE PRACTICE IN ENHANCING ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS

Toirjonov Elzod Elyor o'g'li
Tashkent State University of Economics, Lecturer

Abstract

Reflective practice has become a defining element of modern English Language Teaching (ELT). This study examines how three structured reflective strategies – self-observation through video recording, peer feedback cycles, and narrative journaling – affect the pedagogical effectiveness of EFL instructors in higher education. Drawing on a mixed-methods design, it follows 50 English language teachers across a twenty-four-week semester, measuring shifts in classroom performance, professional attitudes, and instructional decision-making. Results show a statistically significant relationship between consistent reflective engagement and improved student outcomes, with certain dimensions of teaching effectiveness improving by more than 50 percent. The findings demonstrate that sustained reflection reshapes teacher cognition and emotional regulation, bridging the gap between formal training and classroom reality. This study concludes that reflective practice is not peripheral to professional growth but central to developing the adaptive, learner-centered instruction that effective ELT requires.

Keywords: reflective practice, ELT effectiveness, professional development, pedagogical self-awareness, EFL, teacher cognition, classroom management, student engagement.

The field of English Language Teaching (ELT) is uniquely demanding. Unlike more static academic disciplines, ELT requires continuous responsiveness to changing learner profiles, emerging pedagogical research, and rapid technological shifts. Teachers make dozens of complex decisions **during** each lesson—on pacing, feedback, error correction, and cultural framing—often with little time for deliberation or peer input.

Reflective Practice (RP) addresses this challenge directly. Rather than **being merely** a theoretical concept, it functions as a practical daily discipline: the deliberate examination of one's own teaching experiences, assumptions, and outcomes in order to improve future action. For an ELT instructor, this means asking after every lesson: **What** worked, what failed, and why?

Research in teacher cognition and second language acquisition consistently shows that teachers who reflect regularly develop stronger diagnostic skills, greater methodological flexibility, and a more secure professional identity. Yet despite this evidence, most institutions treat reflection as an informal, optional activity rather than a structured professional obligation.

This study responds to that gap by examining how three specific reflective strategies—video-assisted self-observation, structured peer observation, and narrative journaling—influence teaching effectiveness across five dimensions: instructional clarity, adaptability, student engagement, emotional regulation, and self-efficacy. Three research questions guide the investigation: **To** what extent does structured reflection improve measurable ELT effectiveness? Which dimensions of teaching change most significantly? And what cognitive and attitudinal shifts do teachers report? The findings carry implications for teachers, teacher educators, curriculum designers, and institutional policymakers alike.

Literature review. The theoretical foundations of reflective practice in education begin with **John Dewey**, who argued that meaningful learning arises not from experience itself but from the critical examination of that experience. Dewey's distinction between habit-driven "routine action" and inquiry-driven "reflective action" remains a useful lens for understanding what separates competent teaching from genuinely effective teaching.

Donald Schön (1983) gave the concept its most practical formulation by identifying two modes of reflection: **reflection-in-action**, the real-time adjustments a teacher makes mid-lesson in response to student confusion, and **reflection-on-action**, the retrospective analysis that follows a lesson through journaling or peer dialogue. Together, these form a continuous cycle of professional inquiry. **Kolb (1984)** complemented this with his **Experiential Learning Cycle**—moving from concrete experience through reflective observation and abstract conceptualization to active experimentation—offering a procedural model that teacher training programs have widely adopted.

Within ELT specifically, **Borg (2006)** demonstrated that teacher behavior is shaped primarily by **beliefs**

and that unreflective experience tends to reinforce existing beliefs—including counterproductive ones—while reflective experience creates space for genuine change. **Farrell (2015)** extended this by proposing five levels of reflection: philosophy, principles, theory, practice, and broader social context, emphasizing that reflection is not a single act but a comprehensive professional orientation.

Emotion, too, is central to this picture. **Zembylas (2003)** established that unexamined emotional responses—frustration, anxiety, **and** cultural bias—can distort instructional quality, and that reflective journaling and dialogue help teachers recognize and manage these responses. This is particularly relevant in ELT, where cross-cultural dynamics and student vulnerability around language performance make the affective dimension especially significant.

Finally, **Wenger's (1998) Communities of Practice** framework reminds us that reflection is most powerful when it is social. Peer observation and collaborative lesson study transform individual introspection into collective pedagogical development—a principle that directly informs the design of the present study.

Methodology. This study employed a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative pre-post surveys with qualitative thematic analysis of reflective journals and field notes. The rationale was straightforward: pedagogical effectiveness is multi-dimensional, and numbers alone cannot capture the full texture of professional change.

The study ran **over** one academic semester—twenty-four weeks—at three higher education institutions. Fifty English language instructors participated on a voluntary basis, recruited through purposive sampling to ensure diversity in experience and institutional context. The cohort was divided into **20** novice teachers (one to three years of experience) and **30** experienced teachers (five or more years **of experience**), allowing for comparison across career stages.

All participants completed a baseline Likert-scale survey at the start and end of the semester, measuring five dimensions of self-reported teaching effectiveness: instructional clarity, student engagement, adaptability to learner needs, emotional regulation, and the use of learner-centered methodologies.

The reflective program comprised three components. First, **narrative reflective journaling**: participants submitted bi-weekly entries of at least 400 words, structured around a critical classroom incident, an analysis of contributing factors, and a forward-looking improvement plan. Second, **video-assisted self-observation**: teachers recorded at least two lessons per month and reviewed them using a structured protocol covering Teacher Talking Time, question types, feedback moves, and learner-centered design. Group debriefing sessions were held to ease the psychological discomfort of self-observation. Third, **structured peer observation**: each teacher observed a colleague at least twice monthly using a standardized checklist, followed by structured reflective dialogue rather than informal feedback.

Quantitative data were analyzed in SPSS using descriptive statistics and paired-samples t-tests. Anonymous student feedback was gathered from **500** students at both the start and end of the semester. Qualitative journal data were analyzed through inductive thematic analysis following **Braun and Clarke's (2006)** framework.

Results. The results of the study revealed substantial growth across all five measured dimensions of teaching effectiveness, with particularly dramatic improvements in the areas of student engagement and adaptability to learner needs. These findings were consistent across both novice and experienced teacher groups, though the nature and focus of improvement differed meaningfully between the two cohorts.

On the quantitative dimension, the mean score for Instructional Clarity rose from a pre-study baseline of 3.5 to a post-study score of 4.2, representing a 20 percent increase. Participants' capacity to formulate clear task instructions, sequence explanations logically, and use graded language appropriate to **their** proficiency level all showed documented improvement, corroborated by corresponding student feedback scores, which rose from a mean of 3.3 to 4.1 on the same dimension.

The most striking quantitative shift occurred in Adaptability to Learner Needs, which grew from a mean of 2.8 to 4.3—an increase of 53.5 percent. This dimension, which measured teachers' reported ability to modify lesson plans in real time, respond appropriately to unexpected learner difficulties, and differentiate instruction for mixed-ability groups, showed the greatest sensitivity to the reflective intervention. This finding is theoretically significant: it suggests that adaptability—arguably the most sophisticated dimension of teaching

competence—is precisely the dimension most amenable to reflective cultivation.

Student Engagement scores rose from 3.1 to 4.6, a 48 percent increase, representing the second-largest area of growth. This was mirrored in student-reported feedback, where ratings of teacher enthusiasm, lesson relevance, and opportunities for student participation all increased substantially. The correlation between increased reflective activity and improved student engagement was statistically significant at the $p < 0.01$ level, indicating that the relationship is unlikely to be attributable to chance.

Effectiveness dimension	Pre-study mean	Post-study mean	Percentage growth
Instructional clarity	3.5	4.2	20%
Student engagement	3.1	4.6	48%
Adaptability to learner needs	2.8	4.3	54%
Emotional regulation	3.0	4.1	37%
Learner-centered methodology	3.2	4.4	38%

On the qualitative side, thematic analysis of the fifty reflective journals yielded three major themes that recurred with sufficient frequency and intensity to be considered central to the study's narrative findings.

The first and most pervasive theme was the evolution of questioning practice. At the outset of the study, a majority of participating teachers reported relying predominantly on "display questions"—questions to which the teacher already knows the answer and which function primarily as comprehension checks. These types of questions, while useful for certain diagnostic purposes, tend to produce short, formulaic student responses and generate minimal authentic communicative exchange. As teachers reflected on video recordings of their own lessons and engaged in peer-observation debriefs, many began to recognize this pattern and its limiting effects. Over time, journal entries documented a deliberate and effortful shift toward greater use of "referential questions"—open-ended, genuinely communicative questions to which the teacher does not hold a predetermined answer. The consequences of this shift were evident in the student feedback data, with several students specifically noting that lessons had begun to feel "more like real conversations."

The second major theme concerned the reduction of Teacher Talking Time (TTT). Many teachers were initially surprised, and in several cases visibly distressed, by what their video recordings revealed about the proportion of lesson time dominated by their own voices. In numerous journal entries, teachers described this as a "wake-up call" or a "humbling experience." One participant wrote that watching a recording of their own lesson was "like seeing myself from my students' perspective for the first time—and realizing how little space I had left for them." This recognition served as a powerful motivational prompt. Over the following weeks, teachers systematically redesigned their lesson structures to incorporate longer task-completion periods, more pair and group work, student-led presentations, and peer-teaching components. By the end of the study period, video-assisted TTT measurements indicated that average teacher talking time had decreased by approximately 15 minutes per instructional hour—a reduction with meaningful implications for student language production opportunities.

The third theme, and perhaps the most unexpectedly prominent in the data, was emotional regulation and professional resilience. A significant number of journal entries engaged substantively with the emotional dimensions of teaching—feelings of frustration when students failed to engage, anxiety triggered by

administrative observation, guilt around perceived favoritism, and tension arising from students' persistent use of their first language despite explicit instructions to use English. Through the sustained discipline of journaling and peer dialogue, many teachers reported developing a greater capacity to observe these emotional responses with some degree of analytical distance rather than simply reacting to them. Several experienced teachers described this process as a shift from "firefighting" mode—constantly reacting to problems—toward something more resembling what one participant called "a bird's-eye view of my own classroom."

Discussion. The results strongly support the case for embedding reflective practice within ELT professional development frameworks. Growth across all five measured dimensions, achieved within a single semester, suggests that teachers at every career stage hold significant untapped potential—potential that structured reflection can consistently unlock.

The 54 percent improvement in adaptability to learner needs is particularly noteworthy. Professional development literature has long treated adaptability as a near-innate trait, but these findings suggest otherwise. Adaptability appears to be a learnable skill, built through repeated attention to the gap between teaching intention and student experience. Each time a teacher traces a moment of instructional failure back to a specific decision, they strengthen the cognitive infrastructure for more responsive future teaching. Schön's reflection-in-action may describe what adaptability looks like from the outside; reflective practice is how it is constructed from within.

The reduction in Teacher Talking Time points to an equally important insight. While ELT methodology has long established that greater student talk time improves acquisition outcomes, teacher-dominated instruction has persisted for decades, suggesting that theoretical knowledge alone does not change behavior. Video-assisted self-observation appears to work differently: it creates a direct confrontation between a teacher's self-image and their actual classroom conduct, generating the kind of cognitive dissonance that produces genuine behavioral **change**.

The emotional findings reframe what professional competence in ELT truly requires. Language learning is an inherently vulnerable experience for students, and teachers who lack emotional self-awareness are poorly positioned to create the psychologically safe environments that effective learning demands. Reflective journaling and peer dialogue offer a structured means of developing that self-awareness, moving emotional response from an unexamined reflex to a consciously managed professional resource.

Finally, the peer observation results align closely with Wenger's Communities of Practice framework. Structured observation protocols elevated collegial conversations beyond surface-level lesson feedback into substantive dialogue about pedagogy and classroom culture. Many participants identified this component as the most transformative, largely because it dismantled the professional isolation that institutional teaching so often produces.

Conclusion and Recommendations. This study confirms that structured reflective practice produces measurable, meaningful improvements in ELT effectiveness. Teachers who engaged consistently with self-observation, peer feedback, and narrative journaling over one semester showed substantial growth across all five measured dimensions, and the qualitative data suggest these were genuine shifts in professional thinking, not surface-level adjustments.

The theoretical implication is clear: reflective practice must be repositioned from an optional enrichment activity to a core professional competency. A language teacher who cannot critically examine their own practice is no more fully equipped than a surgeon who possesses anatomical knowledge but lacks the capacity for self-evaluation.

Practically, institutions committed to ELT quality must build the structural conditions that make reflection possible: protected time, collaborative learning communities, access to recording equipment, and trained supervisors who can facilitate reflective dialogue. Professional development must shift its focus from delivering new content to cultivating the reflective habits that allow teachers to continuously improve their use of what they already know.

For individual teachers, the findings are both challenging and encouraging. Challenging, because honest self-examination requires intellectual and emotional courage. Encouraging, because the data show that the capacity for growth does not diminish with experience—experienced teachers demonstrated growth trajectories

as significant as those of novice colleagues.

Future research should extend beyond a single semester to determine whether these gains are sustained over time. Longitudinal studies and comparative investigations of individual reflective modalities—journaling, peer observation, or video review in isolation—would help institutions make more targeted professional development decisions.

Ultimately, the English language classroom is a space of human encounter. Reflective practice is what keeps it responsive and alive, ensuring that teaching is guided not by habit, but by the willingness to ask: *How can I do this better?*

REFERENCES

1. Borg S. *Teacher Cognition and Language Education: Research and Practice*. – London: Continuum, 2006. – 320 p.
2. Braun V., Clarke V. Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology // *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. – 2006. – Vol. 3. – No. 2. – P. 77–101.
3. Dewey J. *How We Think: A Restatement of the Relation of Reflective Thinking to the Educative Process*. – Boston: D. C. Heath, 1933. – 301 p.
4. Farrell T. S. C. *Promoting Teacher Reflection in Second Language Education: A Framework for TESOL Professionals*. – New York: Routledge, 2015. – 202 p.
5. Kolb D. A. *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. – Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1984. – 256 p.
6. Richards J. C., Lockhart C. *Reflective Teaching in Second Language Classrooms*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994. – 218 p.
7. Schön D. A. *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. – New York: Basic Books, 1983. – 374 p.
8. Wallace M. J. *Training Foreign Language Teachers: A Reflective Approach*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991. – 180 p.
9. Wenger E. *Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning, and Identity*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998. – 318 p.
10. Zembylas M. Emotions and Teacher Identity: A Poststructural Perspective // *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*. – 2003. – Vol. 9. – No. 3. – P. 213–238.